# The Conservation Easement Controversy: IRS Attacks, Taxpayer Victories, and New Guidance



#### Hale E. Sheppard, Esq.

Chamberlain, Hrdlicka, White, Williams & Aughtry 191 Peachtree Street, N.E. 46th Floor Atlanta, GA 30303 404-658-5441 hale.sheppard@chamberlainlaw.com

> August 25, 2022 GSCPA Southeast Accounting Show

# INTRODUCTION

#### INTRODUCTION

- Misinformation, hyperbole, chest-thumping, other "noise"
- Purpose of this presentation

# OVERVIEW OF CONSERVATION EASEMENT DONATIONS

- What are common options for undeveloped land?
  - Hold for appreciation and later sale
  - Develop to maximize profitability at all costs
  - Conserve permanently

- For what purposes can land be conserved?
  - Outdoor recreation by, or education of, public
  - A relatively natural habit
  - Open space for scenic enjoyment by public + significant benefit
  - Open space pursuant to government policy + significant benefit
  - Historical land or structure

- Can taxpayers reserve rights on conserved property?
  - Rights cannot unduly conflict with conservation purposes
  - Examples of reserved rights
    - Building structures
    - Residing
    - Operating golf course Hunting
- Timbering
- Farming

- How do taxpayers prove condition of property?
  - Baseline Report
  - Generally prepared by land trust
  - Contains maps, surveys, pictures, inventory, etc.

- What is an easement donation worth?
  - Fair market value of the easement
  - Before-and-after method
  - Highest and best use = hypothetical

- What is an easement donation worth? (cont.)
  - Highest and best use criteria
    - Physically possible
    - Legally permissible
    - Financial feasible
    - Maximally productive
  - Any realistic potential use, not current use

- What is an easement donation worth? (cont.)
  - Highest and best use examples
    - Residential subdivision
    - Mixed-use development
    - Mineral mining

- Solar energy
- Active retirement community
- Sports complex

- How do taxpayers claim deductions?
  - Conduct extensive due diligence
  - Obtain tax, legal, and financial analyses
  - Research/get zoning, permitting, other approvals
  - Get Qualified Appraisal
  - From Qualified Appraiser
  - Donate to a Qualified Organization

- How do taxpayers claim deductions? (cont.)
  - Get Baseline Report
  - Complete, execute, and attach Form 8283
  - Complete and file Forms 8886
  - Complete and file Form 8918

- How do taxpayers claim deductions? (cont.)
  - Properly file Deed of Conservation Easement
  - Get contemporaneous written acknowledgments
  - Remove or subordinate all encumbrances
  - Issue Schedules K-1

## LEGISLATIVE ACTIONS

### LEGISLATIVE ACTIONS

- Congressional support for over 50 years
- Recurrent warnings by IRS
- Bipartisan support acknowledged

- Introduction
  - Despite legislative actions, IRS attacks
  - Long list of enforcement techniques

- Labeling SCETs and SSTs "listed transactions"
  - Notice 2017-10 in December 2016
  - Effects
    - Participants file Forms 8886
    - Material advisors file Forms 8918
    - Material advisors keep records

- Implementing a "compliance campaign"
  - Designated IRS personnel
  - Audit all SCETs and SSTs

- Attacking "technical" flaws
  - Lack of charitable intent quid pro quo
  - Conditional donation
  - No contemporaneous written acknowledgement
  - Qualified Appraisal not attached to Form 1065
  - Not a Qualified Appraisal
  - Not a Qualified Appraiser
  - Not a Qualified Organization

- Attacking "technical" flaws (cont.)
  - Qualified Appraisal out of time
  - Appraisal fee is percentage of deduction
  - Form 8283 missing, unexecuted, or incomplete
  - Inaccurate tax basis on Form 8283
  - Not all encumbrances subordinated
  - Deed not timely filed
  - Baseline Report is insufficient

- Attacking "technical" flaws (cont.)
  - Proceeds-upon-extinguishment clause
  - Merger clause
  - Amendment clause
  - Excessive reserved rights
  - Not accessible by public
  - Public benefit not "significant"
  - Insufficient protected specifies
  - Not linked to specific government policy

- Predetermined and vague conclusions
  - Standard positions by IRS in litigation
    - \$0 deduction due to "technical" arguments
    - \$0 deduction due to conservation arguments
    - \$0 deduction to valuation arguments
    - Plus list of alternative penalties

- Attempts to enjoin activities
  - Theories announced in injunction lawsuit
    - Lack of business purpose
    - Lack of true partnership
    - Lack of economic substance
    - Civil fraud
    - Sham transaction

- Name calling
  - "Dirty Dozen" list
  - Separate press releases

- Senate Finance Committee investigation
  - Report issued in August 2020 predictable
  - No specific recommendations
  - Need to improve, not eliminate, Section 170(h)

- Warnings, threats, and rhetoric
  - Avenues press releases, conferences, quotes
  - Expanded actions unveiled
    - Pursuing many parties
    - OPR referrals
    - Technical, procedural, judicial, etc. positions
    - All civil penalties
    - Simultaneous civil and criminal
    - Hiring outside appraisers

- Pursuing supposed "promoters"
  - New Promoter Investigations Coordinator
  - Starting several Section 6700 investigations

- Searching for fraud
  - New Fraud Enforcement Office
  - Two recent IRS memos about fraud

- Swifter summonses
  - Changes via IRS memo in February 2020
  - Eliminates three-step process
  - Urge use of all tools, including Summonses

- Neglecting the facts
  - Acknowledgment-of-facts IDRs
  - IRS underscores benefits before
  - New IRS memo in February 2020
  - No such IDRs for listed transactions

- Revoking protections for appraisers
  - Before review by five IRS personnel
  - IRS memo in January 2020
  - Section 6695A penalties and OPR referral
  - Now one Revenue Agent handles

- Polemical settlement initiative
  - Announced in June 2020
  - Does not conclude all matters
  - Differential treatment category one and two
  - Divide and conquer strategy?

- Efforts to undermine privilege
  - IRS seeking all pre-donation communications
  - Section 7525 and FATP
  - Five limitations of FATP privilege
  - Privilege challenges by IRS

- Using same data in multiple contexts
  - Section 6103 protections
  - Covers returns and return information
  - Five exceptions
  - Three IRS Notices
  - Effects on SCETs and SSTs

- Disqualifying or punishing earlier advisors
  - Broad initial IDRs
  - Follow-up questions regarding professionals
  - Reasons for inquiries

- Challenging ability to make Qualified Offers
  - Option Section 7430 and prevailing party
  - Option Qualified Offer
  - Only two relevant cases; one precedential
  - Current stance by IRS despite precedent

- Attacking "substantially similar transactions"
  - Notice 2017-10
  - Applies to SCETs and SSTs
  - Effects
    - File Forms 8886 and Forms 8918
    - Potential penalties
    - List maintenance
    - Indefinite assessment-period

- Attacking "substantially similar transactions" (cont.)
  - Attempts to differentiate from Notice 2017-10
    - Fee simple instead of easement
    - No promotional materials
    - Hold property more than one year
    - Benefit less than 2.5 times

- Attacking "substantially similar transactions" (cont.)
  - Broad definition of SSTs
    - What do the regulations say?
    - What do the IRS examples say?
    - What do the IRS pronouncements say?
    - What do the courts say?

- Lack of sample Deed language
  - Various parties requested "model language"
  - Safe Harbor suggestion by the National Taxpayer Advocate
  - IRS response "other workload priorities"

- Criminal penalties for ignoring Summonses
  - Several options available to the IRS
  - Section 7210 criminal charge one year and \$1,000
  - DOJ attorney says "underused" and "take it to heart"
  - Internal Revenue Manual power tool but backfires

- Making inappropriate third party contacts
  - IRS generally can make TPCs
  - Disagreement about proper starting point
  - Legislative history ask the taxpayer first
  - Internal Revenue Manual ask the taxpayer first
  - Court of Appeals ask the taxpayer first

# NEWEST ISSUES IN THE WORLD OF EASEMENTS

- Reduced Deductions Based on Land Characterization
  - Overview
    - Tax deduction = FMV of donation
    - Reduced by non-long-term, non-capital gain property
    - Inventory character transfers with contribution
    - Called "inventory issue"

- Reduced Deductions Based on Land Characterization (cont.)
  - First of many cases Glade Creek
    - Three-round battle
    - Tax Court \$0 and "substantial" penalty
    - Court of Appeals invalid regulation
    - Tax Court inventory, limited to basis

- Proposed Regulations Listed Transaction Status
  - Introduction
    - Courts held IRS violated APA with Notice 2017-10
    - IRS reaction issued Proposed Regulations in Dec. 2022
    - Three weeks later, Congress issued Secure Act
    - Different purpose, timing, terms, etc. = confusion

- Proposed Regulations Listed Transaction Status (cont.)
  - Tax Court invalidates Notice 2017-10
    - Green Valley Investors in 2022
    - IRS imposed Reportable Transaction Penalty
    - TP disputes arguing not a reportable transaction
    - Tax Court rules IRS violated the APA
    - "Intends to apply to all similarly situated"

- Proposed Regulations Listed Transaction Status (cont.)
  - Lingering IRS defiance
    - Will respect only for Sixth Circuit cases
    - Filing duties remain until finalization date
    - APA does not apply, and Notices are okay

- Proposed Regulations Listed Transaction Status (cont.)
  - 2.5 Times Reporting Rule
    - Promotional materials offer 2.5 times or more ROI
    - IRS cannot dislike any transaction, even if below

- Proposed Regulations Listed Transaction Status (cont.)
  - Calculating 2.5 Times Reporting Rule three items
    - Aiming high range or inconsistency
    - Presumption of SCET status no materials
    - Anti-Stuffing Rule
      - Only property money counted
      - IRS example

- Proposed Regulations Listed Transaction Status (cont.)
  - Definition of "promotional materials" expanded
    - What Notice 2017-10 said earlier
    - Expanded meaning in Proposed Regulations
      - Appraisals, Deeds, PPMs, subscriptions

- Proposed Regulations Listed Transaction Status (cont.)
  - Focus on tax-exempt entities
    - Overview
    - If TEO is a "party," must pay excise taxes
      - Facilitates because of TEO status
    - Entity Manager also might get hit with excise taxes
      - Knows or should know

- Proposed Regulations Listed Transaction Status (cont.)
  - Land trusts as "parties" to listed transaction
    - Not a "party" for excise tax purposes
    - Not a "participant" for Form 8886 purposes
    - This might change seeking comments
    - IRS says "good actors" exist

- Proposed Regulations Listed Transaction Status (cont.)
  - Land trusts as "Material Advisors" to listed transaction
    - Organizers, appraisers, accountants yes
    - Triggers Form 8918 filing duty
    - Before land trusts not Materials Advisors
    - Now land trusts can be Materials Advisors

- Congress Intervenes Secure Act
  - General Disallowance Rule
    - New Section 170(h)
    - \$0 deduction if ROI exceeds 2.5 times "relevant basis"

- Congress Intervenes Secure Act
  - General Disallowance Rule (cont.)
    - Three exceptions
      - Historic Preservation Exception
      - Family Limited Partnership Exception
      - Three-Year Hold Exception

- Congress Intervenes Secure Act
  - General Disallowance Rule (cont.)
    - Additional content
      - IRS shall issues more regulations
      - 40% penalty if 2.5 Times Disallowance Rule
      - No reasonable cause defense to penalty
      - Rejection of two arguments "no inference"
        - ROI has no bearing on earlier donations
        - Later donations okay if less than 2.5 times

- IRS Issues Safe Harbors
  - Background
    - Disallowances based on technical flaws
    - Flaws often in Deeds (or other documents)
    - IRS did not fix voluntarily
    - Congress mandated a fix in the Secure Act
    - Safe harbors had little effect/benefit

- IRS Issues Safe Harbors
  - Safe harbors on the horizon
    - Only for extinguishment clauses and boundary line changes
    - Some donors could "correct" Deeds
    - Done within 90 days and effective to original filing

- IRS Issues Safe Harbors
  - Safe harbors on the horizon (cont.)
    - Four restrictions by Congress
      - N/A to reportable transactions
      - N/A if violates 2.5 Times Disallowance Rule
      - N/A if already in litigation
      - N/A if Section 6662 or 6663 penalty determined

- IRS Issues Safe Harbors
  - Background
    - Extinguishment clauses
    - Boundary line changes
  - Not a new idea requests for model language
  - Deadline was July 24, 2023
  - IRS did minimum per Secure Act

# CONCLUSION

# Thank You

Hale E. Sheppard
404-658-5441
Hale.Sheppard@chamberlainlaw.Com
www.ProseByTaxPros.com





